Research Paper

Mechanism of UVA-dependent DNA Damage Induced by An Antitumor Drug Dacarbazine in Relation to its Photogenotoxicity

Takuya Iwamoto,¹ Yusuke Hiraku,² Masahiro Okuda,¹ and Shosuke Kawanishi^{2,3,4}

Received May 2, 2007; accepted July 16, 2007; published online August 21, 2007

Purpose. It has been reported that dacarbazine (DTIC) is photogenotoxic. The purpose of this study is to clarify the mechanism of photogenotoxicity induced by DTIC.

Materials and methods. We examined DNA damage induced by UVA-irradiated DTIC using ${}^{32}P-5{}'$ end-labeled DNA fragments obtained from human genes. Formation of 8-oxo-7,8-dihydro-2'deoxyguanosine (8-oxodG) in calf thymus DNA was measured by high performance liquid chromatograph with an electrochemical detector. Electron spin resonance (ESR) spin-trapping experiments were performed to detect radical species generated from UVA-irradiated DTIC.

Results. UVA-irradiated DTIC caused DNA damage at guanine residues, especially at the 5'-GGT-3' sequence in the presence of $Cu(II)$ and also induced 8-oxodG generation in calf thymus DNA. DTICinduced photodamage to DNA fragments was partially inhibited by catalase, whereas 8-oxodG formation was significantly increased by catalase. NaN_3 , a carbene scavenger, inhibited DNA damage and 8-oxodG formation in a dose-dependent manner, suggesting that carbene intermediates are involved. The ESR spin-trapping experiments demonstrated the generation of aryl radicals in the process of photodegradation of DTIC.

Conclusion. Photoactivated DTIC generates the carbene and aryl radicals, which may induce both DNA adduct and 8-oxodG formation, resulting in photogenotoxicity. This study could provide an insight into the safe usage of DTIC.

KEY WORDS: aryl radical; carbene; dacarbazine; DNA damage; photogenotoxicity.

INTRODUCTION

Dacarbazine, 5-(3,3-dimethyltriazen-1-yl) imidazole-4-carboxamide (DTIC), is clinically effective in the treatment of several malignant disorders, including metastatic malignant melanoma, Hodgkin's disease and soft tissue sarcomas, in combination with other anticancer drugs [\(1\)](#page-5-0). DTIC is a prodrug that is activated in the liver to yield the highly reactive methyl diazonium cation. The formation of this cation is considered as the major mechanism for its antitumor effect. DTIC preparations are currently administered by intravenous injection or infusion. However, DTIC is unstable in aqueous solution.

Previous study has demonstrated that this drug rapidly photodecomposes in sunlight, as shown in Fig. [1](#page-1-0) [\(2\)](#page-6-0).

Solar UV radiation is a well-known carcinogen to humans ([3](#page-6-0)). Solar radiation can give rise to cellular DNA damage by direct excitation of DNA bases via short-wave UV radiation (UVB) and by indirect mechanisms observed at longer wavelength (UVA) [\(4\)](#page-6-0). Such indirect mechanisms involve the excitation of endogenous photosensitizers, which may be transformed to reactive intermediates when they absorb UVA light [\(5–7\)](#page-6-0). The potential to induce photogenotoxic effects is known for drugs of a few classes such as psoralenes, phenothiazines, and fluoroquinolones [\(8,9](#page-6-0)). These drugs have been reported to induce DNA photodamage ([6](#page-6-0),[10,11](#page-6-0)), leading to mutations or chromosomal damage and eventually cause tumors [\(12](#page-6-0)). Recently, Kersten et al. reported that DTIC induced drastical photogenotoxic and photocytotoxic effects in Chinese hamster V79 cells, whereas the compound induced neither cytotoxic nor genotoxic effects without irradiation [\(13](#page-6-0)). However, the mechanism of DTIC-induced photogenotoxicity is still unclear.

In order to clarify the mechanism of photogenotoxicity induced by DTIC, we investigated the ability of DTIC plus UVA radiation to induce DNA damage using ${}^{32}P-5'$ -endlabeled DNA fragments obtained from the human p16 and p53 tumor-suppressor genes and the human c-Ha-ras-1 protooncogene. We also measured the content of 8-oxo-7,8 dihydro-2'-deoxyguanosine (8-oxodG), an oxidative product

¹ Department of Pharmacy, Mie University Hospital, Tsu, Mie 514-8507, Japan.

² Department of Environmental and Molecular Medicine, Graduate School of Medicine, Mie University, Tsu, Mie 514-8507, Japan.

³ Faculty of Health Science, Suzuka University of Medical Science, 1001-1 Kishioka, Suzuka, Mie 510-0293, Japan.

⁴ To whom correspondence should be addressed. (e-mail: kawanisi@ suzuka-u.ac.jp)

ABBREVIATIONS: CIP, calf intestine phosphatase; Diazo IC, 5'diazoimidazole-4-carboxamide; DMPO, 5,5-dimethyl-1-pyrroline-Noxide, MNP, 2-methyl-2-nitrosopropane; DTIC, Dacarbazine; DTPA, diethylenetriamine- N, N, N', N'' -pentaacetic acid; ESR, electron spin resonance; HPLC-ECD, high performance liquid chromatograph with an electrochemical detector; SOD, superoxide dismutase; 8-oxodG, 8-oxo-7,8-dihydro-2'-deoxyguanosine.

4-carbamoyl-2-(4-carbamoylimidazol-5-ylazo)imidazolium-5-olate

Fig. 1. Suggested scheme of dacarbazine degradation.

of guanine, formed by UVA-irradiated DTIC in calf thymus DNA. Furthermore, we analyzed the radicals generated from UVA-irradiated DTIC by electron spin resonance (ESR) spin-trapping experiments.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials

Restriction enzymes (ApaI, EcoRI, BssHII, XbaI, and PstI) were purchased from Boehringer Mannheim GmbH (Germany). The restriction enzyme HindIII, AvaI and T4 polynucleotide kinase were obtained from New England Biolabs (Beverly, MA). $[\gamma^{-32}P]ATP$ (222 TBq/mmol) was purchased from New England Nuclear (Boston, MA). DTIC, 5,5-dimethyl-1-pyrroline-N-oxide (DMPO) and 2-methyl-2 nitrosopropane (MNP) were purchased from Aldrich Chemical Co. (Milwaukee, WI). Calf thymus DNA, calf intestine phosphatase (CIP), superoxide dismutase (SOD, 3000 units/mg from bovine erythrocytes) and catalase (45000 units/mg from bovine liver) were acquired from Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO). Nuclease P1 (400 units/mg) was obtained from Yamasa Shoyu Co. (Chiba, Japan).

Preparation of $32P-5'$ -end-labeled DNA Fragments

DNA fragments were obtained from the human $p53$ tumor suppressor gene (14) (14) . The ³²P-end-labeled 443-base pair (ApaI 14179-EcoRI* 14621) and 211-base pair (HindIII* 13972-ApaI 14182) fragments were generated as described previously (asterisks indicate $32P$ -labeling) ([15\)](#page-6-0). DNA fragments obtained from the human p16 tumor suppressor gene were subcloned into the pGEM®-T Easy Vector (Promega Corporation, Madison, WI) ([16\)](#page-6-0). The 460-base pair fragment was digested with BssHII to obtain singly labeled 309-base pair (EcoRI* 9481-BssHII 9789) and 147 base pair (BssHII 9794-EcoRI* 9940) fragments. DNA fragments were also prepared from plasmid pbcNI, which carries a 6.6 kb BamHI chromosomal DNA segment containing the human c-Ha-ras-1 protooncogene [\(17](#page-6-0)). A singly labeled 261-base pair fragment (AvaI* 1645-XbaI 1905) and 337-base pair fragment (PstI 2345-AvaI* 2681) were obtained according the method described previously [\(18,19](#page-6-0)). Nucleotide numbering starts with the BamHI site ([17\)](#page-6-0).

Detection of DNA Damage Induced by UVA-irradiated DTIC

Standard reaction mixtures (in a 1.5 ml Eppendorf microtube) contained DTIC solution (containing the same concentration of HCl), $^{32}P-5'$ -end-labeled DNA fragments, non-labeled calf thymus DNA (5 μ M/base), 20 μ M CuCl₂ and 5 μ M diethylenetriamine-N,N,N',N'',N''-pentaacetic acid (DTPA) in 200 ml of 10 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.8). Calf thymus DNA was used to adjust the total concentration of DNA. The mixtures were exposed to UVA light using a 10 W UV lamp $(\lambda=365 \text{ nm}, \text{UVP}, \text{Inc.}, \text{C}$ CA) placed at a distance 20 cm from the sample. UVA dose exposed to samples was measured with a UVP Model UVX Digital Radiometer (UVP, Inc., CA), and we calculated the exposure time. To expose the sample to 10 J/cm² UVA, the sample was irradiated with UVA for approximately 90 min. After the irradiation, DNA fragments were treated with 1 M piperidine at 90°C for 20 min and treated as described previously ([20,21](#page-6-0)). DNA fragments were subjected to electrophoresis on an 8 M urea/8 % polyacrylamide gel and visualized by autoradiography.

Preferred DNA damaging sites were determined by direct comparison of oligonucleotide position with the cleavage patterns produced by the Maxam–Gilbert procedure ([21](#page-6-0)). The relative quantities of oligodeoxynucleotides from the treated DNA fragments were measured by laser densitometry (Personal Densitometer SI, Amarsham Biosciences).

Formation of 8-oxodG in Calf Thymus DNA by UVA-irradiated DTIC

The levels of 8-oxodG were measured by a modification of the method of Kasai et al. [\(22](#page-6-0)). Reaction mixtures containing DTIC solution (containing the same concentration of HCl), calf thymus DNA fragments (20 μ M/base), 20 μ M CuCl₂ and 5 μ M DTPA in 200 μ l of 4 mM sodium phosphate

buffer (pH 7.8) were exposed to 8 J/cm2 UVA light. After ethanol precipitation, DNA fragments were digested into individual nucleosides with nuclease P1 and CIP. The nucleosides were then analyzed by using a high performance liquid chromatograph with an electrochemical detector (HPLC-ECD) as described previously ([11\)](#page-6-0). For the statistical analysis of the data, independent t test was used at a significant level of $p<0.05$.

a

Analysis of Free Radicals Derived from Photodegradation of DTIC

The reaction mixture containing 2 mM DTIC solution (containing the same concentration of HCl), 20 μ M CuCl₂ and spin trapping agent (300 mM DMPO in phosphate buffer or 10 mM MNP in acetone) was exposed 2 J/cm² UVA light. ESR spectra were recorded at 25^oC using a JES-TE100 spectrometer (JEOL, Tokyo. Japan) with 100 kHz field modulation, a microwave power of 16 mW and an amplitude of 0.1 mT.

RESULTS

UVA-mediated Damage to ³²P-labeled DNA Fragments Induced by DTIC

Figure 2 shows the autoradiogram of $32P$ -labeled DNA fragments exposed to UVA light in the presence of DTIC. While UVA-irradiated DTIC induced DNA damage in the presence of Cu(II), UVA-irradiated DTIC alone did not (Fig. 2a). UVAirradiated DTIC did not induce DNA damage in the presence of other metal ions, including Fe(II), Fe(III), Mn(II) or Mn(III) (Fig. 2a). DNA damage was observed only when the DNA fragment was treated with piperidine, suggesting that base modification is induced by UVA-irradiated DTIC in the presence of Cu(II). DNA damage was observed most prominently at 0.5 mM DTIC (Fig. 2b). UVA dose-dependently increased DNA damage by DTIC from 2 to 10 J/cm2 (data not shown). UVA alone did not induce DNA damage.

Effects of Scavengers and Bathocuproine on UVA-mediated DNA Damage Induced by DTIC

Figure [3a](#page-3-0) shows the effects of scavengers and bathocuproine on DNA damage induced by UVA-irradiated DTIC in the presence of Cu(II). Bathocuproine, a Cu(I)-specific chelator, inhibited DNA damage, suggesting that the DNA damage is Cu(I)-dependent. Catalase, methional, and SOD partially inhibited DTIC-induced DNA damage. Fig. [3](#page-3-0)b shows the inhibitory effect of NaN₃, which is reported to react with carbene intermediates [\(23](#page-6-0)), on DNA damage induced by UVA-irradiated DTIC in the presence of Cu(II). NaN₃ inhibited DNA damage induced in a dose-dependent manner, suggesting that carbene intermediates are involved. D_2O did not enhance the DNA damage induced by UVA-irradiated DTIC in the presence of Cu(II) (data not shown), defying the involvement of ${}^{1}O_{2}$.

Site Specificity of UVA-mediated DNA Damage Induced by DTIC

The pattern of DNA damage induced by UVA-irradiated DTIC in the presence of Cu(II) were determined by the

Fig. 2. Autoradiogram of ^{32}P -labeled DNA fragments incubated with UVA-irradiated DTIC in the presence of metal ion (a) and Cu(II) (b). Reaction mixtures contained DTIC solution (containing 0.5 mM HCl), $^{32}P-5'$ -end-labeled DNA fragments, calf thymus DNA (5 μ M/base), 20 μ M metal ion and 5 μ M DTPA in 200 μ l 10 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.8). The mixtures were exposed to 10 $J/cm²$ UVA light placed at a distance 20 cm from the sample. After the irradiation, DNA fragments were treated with (a, b) or without (b) 1 M piperidine at 90° C for 20 min. The DNA fragments were then subjected to electrophoresis on an 8 M urea/8% polyacrylamide gel and visualized by autoradiography. The control contained no DTIC and was exposed to UVA light.

Maxam–Gilbert procedure. An autoradiogram was obtained and scanned with a laser densitometer to measure the relative intensities of DNA damage in the human c-Ha-ras 1 protooncogene (Fig. [4\)](#page-3-0). UVA-irradiated DTIC caused

a

b

Fig. 3. Effects of scavengers and bathocuproine on DNA damage induced by UVA-irradiated DTIC in the presence of Cu(II). Reaction mixtures contained DTIC solution (containing 0.5 mM HCl), $32P-5'$ -end-labeled DNA fragments, calf thymus DNA (5 μ M/ base), 20 μ M CuCl₂ and 5 μ M DTPA in 200 μ l 10 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.8). The mixtures were exposed to 8 $J/cm²$ UVA light placed at a distance 20 cm from the sample. Where indicated, 5% ethanol, 0.1 M mannitol, 0.1 M sodium formate, 0.1 M methional, catalase, SOD, bathocuproine (a) or NaN₃ at the indicated concentration (b) were added to the reaction mixture. Following subsequent piperidine treatment, the DNA fragments were analyzed as described in Fig. [2](#page-2-0) legend. The control contained no DTIC and was exposed to UVA light.

DNA damage at guanine residues, especially at the $5'-GGT$ - $3'$ sequence in the presence of Cu(II) (Fig. 4a and b).

Formation of 8-oxodG in Calf Thymus DNA by DTIC in the Presence of UVA Irradiation

Figure [5](#page-4-0) shows 8-oxodG formation induced by UVAirradiated DTIC in the presence of Cu(II). The formation of 8-oxodG increased depending on DTIC concentrations. Upon the addition of catalase, 8-oxodG formation significantly increased following treatment with 0.5 and 1 mM DTIC. In contrast, $NaN₃$ significantly decreased the formation of 8-oxodG induced by UVA-irradiated DTIC. Without UVA irradiation, DTIC did not increase the formation of 8 oxodG in the presence of Cu(II). UVA alone induced little or no effect on 8-oxodG formation.

Generation of Free Radicals Derived from UVA-irradiated DTIC

ESR spectroscopic study using trapping agents, DMPO and MNP was performed (Fig. [6](#page-4-0)). The ESR spectrum using DMPO shows UVA-irradiated DTIC-derived radicals with the six-line signal, assigned to aryl radicals $(a^N=16.0 \text{ mT})$, a^H =25.1 mT) (Fig. [6a](#page-4-0)). When using acetone as a solvent of MNP, we detected nine-line signal, assigned to acetone radical (a^N =15.7 mT, a^H =8.6 mT), which may be generated from dehydrogenation of acetone caused by UVA-irradiated DTIC. Assignment of the chemical structure of the free radical species was achieved by the close agreement of the hyperfine coupling constants with the published literature ([24\)](#page-6-0). The three-line signal, derived from the MNP decomposition product, di-tert-butyl nitroxide, was also

Fig. 4. a, b Site specificity of DNA damage induced by UVAirradiated DTIC. Reaction mixtures contained 0.5 mM DTIC solution (containing 0.5 mM HCl), $32P-5'$ -end-labeled DNA fragments, calf thymus DNA (5 μ M/base), 20 μ M CuCl₂ and 5 μ M DTPA in 200 µl 10 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.8). The mixtures were exposed to 10 J/cm² UVA light placed at a distance 20 cm from the sample. After the irradiation, DNA fragments were treated with 1 M piperidine and electrophoresed as described in Fig. [2](#page-2-0) legend. The relative amounts of DNA fragments were measured by scanning the autoradiogram with a laser densitometer (Personal Densitometer SI, Amarsham Biosciences).

Fig. 5. Effects of catalase or NaN₃ on the formation of 8-oxodG induce by UVA-irradiated DTIC. Reaction mixtures containing DTIC solution (containing 0.5 mM HCl), calf thymus DNA fragments (20 μ M/base), 20 μ M CuCl₂ and 5 μ M DTPA in 200 μ l 4 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.8) were exposed to 8 J/cm² UVA light. Where indicated, 30 U catalase or 0.1 mM NaN₃ was added to the reaction mixture. After ethanol precipitation, DNA fragments were digested into individual nucleosides with nuclease P1 and CIP. The nucleosides were then analyzed by using a high performance liquid chromatograph with an electrochemical detector (HPLC- ECD). Results are expressed as means $\pm SD$ of values obtained from four independent experiments. Asterisks indicating P<0.05, and double asterisks indicating P<0.01, significantly changed by the addition of catalase or NaN_3 (analyzed by independent t test).

observed $(a^N=1.66$ mT). Without UVA irradiation, DTIC did not produce free radical under the same condition (data not shown).

DISCUSSION

In this study, we demonstrated that UVA-irradiated DTIC induced DNA damage and 8-oxodG generation in the presence of Cu(II). The photodamage to ^{32}P -labeled DNA was partially inhibited by catalase, whereas 8-oxodG formation in calf thymus DNA was significantly increased by the addition of catalase. In the reaction mixture of UVAirradiated DTIC and Cu(II), we could not detect the generation of H_2O_2 by the scopoletin method [\(25](#page-6-0)) (data not shown). These results suggest that UVA-irradiated DTICinduced DNA damage involves two H_2O_2 -independent mechanisms, that is, 8-oxodG generation and catalaseinhibited pathway. Firstly, we investigated the H_2O_2 independent mechanisms of 8-oxodG generation induced by DTIC. ESR spectroscopic study using a trapping agent MNP has shown the generation of acetone radical through dehydrogenation of acetone by UVA-irradiated DTIC. This result suggests that photodegraded product of DTIC can abstract hydrogen atom from deoxyguanine to give free radical. Triplet carbenes and aryl radicals are known to be able to abstract hydrogen atoms from the deoxyribose portion of DNA [\(26,27\)](#page-6-0). Therefore, we consider that deoxyguanine radical at C8 is formed through the abstraction of hydrogen atom by photodegraded products of DTIC, such as carbene and aryl radical species, leading to 8 oxodG formation. It is noteworthy that 8-oxodG was generated via H_2O_2 -independent pathway.

Secondly, we investigated the mechanism of DNA damage induced by UVA-irradiated DTIC, which is partially inhibited by catalase. DNA damage occurred after piperidine treatment, suggesting that DNA damage is due to base alteration with little or no strand breakage. The preferred site of DNA damage is guanine residues, especially at the 5[']-GGT-3['] sequence. The previous study using matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry analysis showed that aryl radical formed an adduct with guanosine [\(28](#page-6-0)). In this study, we confirmed aryl radical formation from photolysis of DTIC by ESR spin trapping methods, although its precise structure remains to be clarified. $NaN₃$ inhibited DNA damage induced by UVAirradiated DTIC and $D₂O$ did not enhance it, suggesting that carbene intermediates, but not ${}^{1}O_{2}$ are involved in DNA damage. These facts indicate that aryl radical, which may be generated via 5'-diazoimidazole-4-carboxamide (Diazo-IC) and carbene formation, plays an important role in DNA photodamage induced by DTIC. Since there are few reports about DNA damage induced by carbene species ([23\)](#page-6-0), our results provide an insight into carbene-mediated DNA damage.

It has been reported that DTIC induced drastical photogenotoxic and photocytotoxic effects in Chinese hamster V79 cells with the significant increase of micronucleated cells ([13](#page-6-0)). On the basis of our results, we proposed the mechanism of DNA damage induced by UVA-irradiated DTIC plus Cu(II) (Fig. [7](#page-5-0)). Photodegraded product of DTIC, Diazo-IC reduces $Cu(II)$ to generate $Cu(I)$ ([29,30](#page-6-0)). $Cu(I)$ can catalyze the release of the diazonium group from the aromatic ring of Diazo-IC to generate carbene and aryl radical [\(31](#page-6-0)). DTIC-induced DNA photodamage is considered to be induced via these two mechanisms, DNA adduct and 8 oxodG formation. DNA adduct formation was thought to be produced by the coupling reaction of aryl radical with deoxyguanine radical, which may be formed from dehydro-

Fig. 6. Generation of free radicals derived from UVA-irradiated DTIC. The reaction mixture containing 2 mM DTIC solution (containing 2 mM HCl), 20 μ M CuCl₂ and spin trapping agent, 300 mM DMPO in phosphate buffer (a) or 10 mM MNP in acetone (b), was exposed 2 J/cm² UVA light. ESR spectra were recorded at 25° C using a JES-TE100 spectrometer (JEOL, Tokyo. Japan) with 100 kHz field modulation, a microwave power of 16 mW and an amplitude of 0.1 mT. (a) The six-line signal consists of three 1:1 doublet spectra. (b) The nine-line signal consist of three 1:2:1 triplet spectra. D, di-tert-butyl nitroxide, an MNP decomposition product.

Fig. 7. A proposed mechanism of DNA damage induced by UVA-irradiated DTIC.

genation of deoxyguanine by carbene or aryl radical. Additionally, 8-oxodG may be oxidatively generated by deoxyguanine radical. Our results are reasonably explained by assuming that when catalase is added, the aryl radical generation, which is independent of the dehydrogenation of deoxyguanine by carbene, is accelerated. Because in this condition, a small amount of deoxyguanine radical is produced concurrently with aryl radical, DNA adduct formed via the coupling reaction of aryl radical and deoxyguanine radical is decreased. Then the remaining aryl radical may abstract hydrogen atom from deoxyguanine to produce deoxyguanine radical, resulting in the decrease of aryl radical and the enhancement of 8-oxodG formation. 8-OxodG is a highly mutagenic lesion causing $GC \rightarrow TA$ transversion ([32](#page-6-0)). 8-OxodG is further oxidized to 2-aminoimidazolone ([33](#page-6-0)), which may lead to GC \rightarrow CG transversion [\(34](#page-6-0)). UVAirradiated DTIC may induce the formation of these mutagenic DNA lesions. This is the first report to demonstrate the mechanism of DNA photodamage induced by DTIC.

At present, DTIC is employed in combination regimes for the treatment of malignant melanoma, Hodgkin's disease and soft tissue sarcomas (1). DTIC preparations are currently administrated by intravenous injection or short term infusion. It has been claimed that the photodegraded products of DTIC cause adverse reactions including local venous pain and phototoxic skin reaction when injected intravenously ([35](#page-6-0)–[37\)](#page-6-0). Diazo-IC is considered to be a causative agent

inducing local venous pain by DTIC ([37\)](#page-6-0). However, up to now, there is no study to show the distinct mechanism of DTIC-induced venous pain. It appears certain that the formation of free radicals plays a major role in acute and chronic inflammation and pain [\(38–40](#page-6-0)). In this study, we have demonstrated that carbene and aryl radical are generated from photoirradiated DTIC. Carbenes are highly reactive diradicals, which have been reported to be involved in the photodamage to DNA induced by fluoloquinolones ([23\)](#page-6-0). These findings suggest that DTIC induced harmful photoreaction, such as venous pain, could be caused by reactive species including carbenes or aryl radical. Therefore, it is essential to shield DTIC infusion solution from solar UV or fluorescent lights after its preparation to avoid the generation of reactive free radical species. Our results, indicating the possible mechanism of photogenotoxicity, could provide useful information to develop the prevention method for the phototoxicity induced by DTIC.

REFERENCES

1. B. A. Chabner, P. C. Amrein, B. Druker, M. D. Michaelson, C. S. Mitsuades, P.E. Goss, D. P. Ryan, S. Ramachandra, P. G. Richardson, J. G. Supko, and W. H. Wilson. Chemotherapy of neoplastic diseases. In L. L. Brunton (ed.), Goodman And Gilman's The Pharmacological Basis of Therapeutics. 11th Edition, McGraw-Hill, New York, 2006, pp. 1331.

- 2. J. K. Horton, and M. F. Stevens. A new light on the photo decomposition of the antitumour drug DTIC. J. Pharm. Pharmacol. 33:808-811 (1981).
- 3. International Agency for Reserch on Cancer (IARC) Working Group, Solar and ultraviolet radiation. In: IARC Monographs on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans, vol. 55, Lyon, 1992, pp. 43–281.
- 4. C. Kielbassa, L. Roza, and B. Epe. Wavelength dependence of oxidative DNA damage induced by UV and visible light. Carcinogenesis. **18**:811-816 (1997).
- 5. K. Ito, S. Inoue, K. Yamamoto, and S. Kawanishi. 8-Hydroxydeoxyguanosine formation at the $5'$ site of $5'$ -GG-3 $'$ sequences in double-stranded DNA by UV radiation with riboflavin. J. Biol. Chem. 268:13221–13227 (1993).
- 6. K. Ito, and S. Kawanishi. Photoinduced hydroxylation of deoxyguanosine in DNA by pterins: sequence specificity and mechanism. Biochemistry. 36:1774–1781 (1997).
- 7. K. Ito, and S. Kawanishi. Site-specific DNA damage induced by UVA radiation in the presence of endogenous photosensitizer. Biol. Chem. 378:1307–1312 (1997).
- 8. E. Gocke, S. Albertini, A. A. Chetelat, S. Kirchner, and W. Muster. The photomutagenicity of fluoroquinolones and other drugs. Toxicol. Lett. 102–103:375–381 (1998).
- 9. A. A. Chetelat, S. Albertini, and E. Gocke. The photomutagenicity of fluoroquinolones in tests for gene mutation, chromosomal aberration, gene conversion and DNA breakage (Comet assay). Mutagenesis. 11:497–504 (1996).
- 10. S. Kawanishi, S. Inoue, S. Sano, and H. Aiba. Photodynamic guanine modification by hematoporphyrin is specific for singlestranded DNA with singlet oxygen as a mediator. J. Biol. Chem. 261:6090–6095 (1986).
- 11. K. Ito, S. Inoue, K. Yamamoto, and S. Kawanishi. 8-Hydroxydeoxyguanosine formation at the $5'$ site of $5'-GG-3'$ sequences in double-stranded DNA by UV radiation with riboflavin. J. Biol. Chem. 268:13221–13227 (1993).
- 12. K. S. Loveday. Interrelationship of photocarcinogenicity, photomutagenicity and phototoxicity. Photochem. Photobiol. 63:369– 372 (1996).
- 13. B. Kersten, P. Kasper, S. Y. Brendler-Schwaab, and L. Muller. Use of the photo-micronucleus assay in Chinese hamster V79 cells to study photochemical genotoxicity. Mutat. Res. 519:49–66 (2002).
- 14. P. Chumakov. EMBL Data Library, accession number X54156, 1990.
- 15. N. Yamashita, M. Murata, S. Inoue, Y. Hiraku, T. Yoshinaga, and S. Kawanishi. Superoxide formation and DNA damage induced by a fragrant furanone in the presence of copper(II). Mutat. Res. 397:191–201 (1998).
- 16. M. Serrano, G. J. Hannon, and D. A. Beach. A new regulatory motif in cell-cycle control causing specific inhibition of cyclin D/ CDK4. Nature. 366:704–707 (1993).
- 17. D. J. Capon, E. Y. Chen, A. D. Levinson, P. H. Seeburg, and D. V. Goeddel. Complete nucleotide sequences of the T24 human bladder carcinoma oncogene and its normal homologue. Nature. 302:33–37 (1983).
- 18. K. Yamamoto, and S. Kawanishi. Site-specific DNA damage induced by hydrazine in the presence of manganese and copper ions. The role of hydroxyl radical and hydrogen atom. J. Biol. Chem. 266:1509–1515 (1991).
- 19. S. Kawanishi, and K. Yamamoto. Mechanism of site-specific DNA damage induced by methylhydrazines in the presence of copper(II) or manganese(III). Biochemistry. 30:3069–3075 (1991).
- 20. K. Yamamoto, S. Inoue, A. Yamazaki, T. Yoshinaga, and S. Kawanishi. Site-specific DNA damage induced by cobalt(II) ion and hydrogen peroxide: role of singlet oxygen. Chem. Res. Toxicol. 2:234–239 (1989).
- 21. A. M. Maxam, and W. Gilbert. Sequencing end-labeled DNA with base-specific chemical damages. Methods Enzymol. 65:499– 560 (1980).
- 22. H. Kasai, P. F. Crain, Y. Kuchino, S. Nishimura, A. Ootsuyama, and H. Tanooka. Formation of 8-hydroxyguanine moiety in cellular DNA by agents producing oxygen radicals and evidence for its repair. Carcinogenesis. 7:1849-1851 (1986).
- 23. L. Martinez, and C. F. Chignell. Photocleavage of DNA by the fluoroquinolone antibacterials. J. Photochem. Photobiol. B. 45:51–59 (1998).
- 24. G. R. Buettner. Spin trapping: ESR parameters of spin adducts. Free Radic. Biol. Med. 3:259–303 (1987).
- 25. G. Starkebaum, and J. M. Harlan. Endothelial cell injury due to copper-catalyzed hydrogen peroxide generation from homocysteine. J. Clin. Invest. 77:1370-1376 (1986).
- 26. Y. Razskazovskii, M. Roginskaya, and M. D. Sevilla. Modification of the reductive pathway in gamma-irradiated DNA by electron scavengers: targeting the sugar-phosphate backbone. Radiat. Res. 149:422–432 (1998).
- 27. T. Kensler, K. Guyton, P. Egner, T. McCarthy, S. Lesko, and S. Akman. Role of reactive intermediates in tumor promotion and progression. Prog. Clin. Biol. Res. 391:103–116 (1995).
- 28. S. Oikawa, T. Ito, M. Iwayama, and S. Kawanishi. Radical production and DNA damage induced by carcinogenic 4 hydrazinobenzoic acid, an ingredient of mushroom Agaricus bisporus. Free Radic. Res. 40:31–39 (2006).
- 29. M. P. Doyle, M. A. Mckervey, and T. Ye. Modern catalytic methods for organic synthesis with diazo compounds: from cyclopropanes to ylides, Wiley, New York, 1998.
- 30. B. J. Kraft, H. J. Eppley, J. C. Huffman, and J. M. Zaleski. Cu(II)-mediated intramolecular carbene cation radical formation: relevance to unimolecular metal-ligand radical intermediates. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 124:272–280 (2002).
- 31. T. Cohen, A. G. Dietz, and J. R. Miser. A simple preparation of phenols from diazonium ions via the generation and oxidation of aryl radicals by copper salts. J. Org. Chem. 42:2053–2058 (1977).
- 32. S. D. Bruner, D. P. Norman, and G. L. Verdine. Structural basis for recognition and repair of the endogenous mutagen 8 oxoguanine in DNA. Nature. 403:859–866 (2000).
- 33. C. J. Burrows, and J. G. Muller. Oxidative nucleobase modifications leading to strand scission. Chem. Rev. 98:1109–1152 (1998).
- 34. K. Kino, I. Saito, and H. Sugiyama. Product analysis of GGspecific phhotooxidation of DNA via electron transfer: 2 aminoimidazole as a major guanine oxidation product. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 120:7373–7374 (1998).
- 35. D. R. Bickers. Photosensitivity and other reactions to light. In D. L. Kasper, A. S. Fauci, D. L. Longo, E. Braunwald, S. L. Hauser, and J. L. Jameson (eds.), Harrison's Principles of Internal Medicine. 16th Edition, McGraw-Hill, New York, 2005, pp. 327.
- 36. R. Treudler, J. Georgieva, C. C. Geilen, and C. E. Orfanos. Dacarbazine but not temozolomide induces phototoxic dermatitis in patients with malignant melanoma. J. Am. Acad. Dermatol. 50:783–785 (2004).
- 37. M. Asahi, R. Matsushita, M. Kawahara, T. Ishida, C. Emoto, N. Suzuki, O. Kataoka, C. Mukai, M. Hanaoka, J. Ishizaki, K. Yokogawa, and K. Miyamoto. Causative agent of vascular pain among photodegradation products of dacarbazine. J. Pharm. Pharmacol. 54:1117–1122 (2002).
- 38. D. Salvemini, T. M. Doyle, and S. Cuzzocrea. Superoxide, peroxynitrite and oxidative/nitrative stress in inflammation. Biochem. Soc. Trans. 34:965–970 (2006).
- 39. D. Siniscalco, C. Fuccio, C. Giordano, F. Ferraraccio, E. Palazzo, L. Luongo, F. Rossi, K. A. Roth, S. Maione, and V. de Novellis. Role of reactive oxygen species and spinal cord apoptotic genes in the development of neuropathic pain. Pharmacol. Res. 55:158–166 (2007).
- 40. H. K. Kim, J. H. Kim, X. Gao, J. L. Zhou, I. Lee, K. Chung, and J. M. Chung. Analgesic effect of vitamin E is mediated by reducing central sensitization in neuropathic pain. Pain. 122:53– 62 (2006).